	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO			
AIRS ID#: 0112337 DATE: <u>6/21/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1200</u>	DEPART: <u>1230</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CONTINENTAL FLORIDA M	TLS-W. BROWARD RMC			
FACILITY LOCATION: 19681 SW 69TH PL	,			
FORT LAUDERDA	LE 33332-1619			
Email: jcraimondi@LEHIGHCEMENT.COM CONTACT NAME: CEASAR SOTO Email:	CONTACT NAME: CEASAR SOTO PHONE: (954)680-1828 Email: Mobile: (954)410-2997 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/10/2011 / 3/10/2016			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>.</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
 Is the Authorized Representative still JACK RAIM If no, who is?:	ve update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo		

3-CCB Plant-south silo#1 (cement), 55T w/shakerstyle baghouse subject to Reasonable P	<u>recautions</u>	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: No No 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ------ Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ------ Yes No No 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ------ Yes No No 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes No No b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🗌 Yes No No 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes No b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ [Yes No No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

|--|

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	
particulate matter?	No No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes 	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

5 - CCB Plant-west silo#3(cement-DOT),55T w/shakerstyle baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?] Yes] Yes	□ No □ No	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?] Yes		

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
	<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	□ No		
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes 	No No		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	□ No □ No		

6 - CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/ two silo mounted baghouses subject to Reasonable Precautions
--

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection:	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	🗌 No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
c. What caused the problem(b) (it known).	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
control emissions? Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes	∐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes	No No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes	🗌 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with	the exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facil If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	ity? Yes No
If TES, what other general permit units of activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	Yes No
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below	w)? Yes No
vel dissel/en to sel see line (en to MM SCE not see (en to	\mathbf{M}
<u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline/yr</u> + <u>MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>275,000 gal diesel/yr</u> 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 M	
275,000 gai diesel/yi $25,000$ gai gasonne/yi 44 whyi Set' flat. gas/yi 1.5 M	viivi gai propane/ yi
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of month	ly fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Vac	
 2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		∐ No ∏ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 	- 🗌 Yes	No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>)	(check 🗹 box for each <i>ng question 2</i> .)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(🗌 Yes	No No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	rmit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Ves	∐ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	ative not	

associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes

2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------ Yes

a. Installation of any new process equipment? ----- Yes

d. A change in ownership? ----- Yes

4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? — Yes

Cynthia Fernandez	

6/21/2012

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been

Date of Inspection

Dec. 2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Temporary closed due to economic hardship.

No No

No No

No

No
No

No No

No No